

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/780,729	Applicant(s) KOSHIDA ET AL.	
	Examiner Peter Szekely	Art Unit 1714	

All Participants:

(1) Peter Szekely.

(2) William Hamby.

Date of Interview: 19 November 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:00p.m.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None.

Claims discussed:
None.

Prior art documents discussed:
None.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr Hamby stated that the application has been abandoned in favor of a continuation.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)